

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 25-1977V

MEGAN MARLETT,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 2, 2026

Matthew B. Bernstein, Green & Schafle LLC, Philadelphia, PA, for Petitioner.

Katherine Carr Esposito, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On November 19, 2025, Megan Marlett filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”), a defined Table injury, or in the alternative caused-in-fact injury, after receiving an influenza vaccine on September 9, 2024. Petition at 1, ¶¶ 3, 26-27. Petitioner also alleges that she received the vaccine within the United States, that she suffered the residual effects of her SIRVA for more than six months, and that neither she nor any other party has filed a civil action or received compensation for her alleged

¹ Because this Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

SIRVA. *Id.* at ¶¶ 3, 24-25. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

Respondent concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Respondent "recommends that [P]etitioner be found entitled to compensation for a Table SIRVA . . . [and] [P]etitioner has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act." *Id.* at 4.

In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master