

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 25-1807V

TAMMY REBECCA HAMMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 15, 2026

Jeffrey S. Pop, Jeffrey S. Pop & Associates, Beverly Hills, CA, for Petitioner.

Camille Michelle Collett, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On October 24, 2025, Tammy Rebecca Hammond filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) following receipt of an influenza (“flu”) vaccine on September 17, 2024. Petition at ¶¶ 6, 49. Petitioner further alleges that she suffered the residual effects of her injury for more than six months, and that there has been no prior award or settlement of a civil action on her behalf as a result of her injury. *Id.* at ¶¶ 48, 52. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

¹ Because this Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

On June 13, 2026, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, Respondent states that,

Respondent recommends that [P]etitioner be found entitled to compensation for a Table SIRVA. Petitioner had no history of pain, inflammation, or dysfunction of her right shoulder prior to vaccination that would explain [P]etitioner's post-vaccination symptoms; shoulder pain occurred within forty-eight hours after receipt of an intramuscular flu vaccination; shoulder pain and reduced range of motion were limited to the side in which the vaccine was administered; and no other condition or abnormality has been identified to explain petitioner's shoulder pain. See 42 C.F.R. § 100.3(a)(XIV)(B), (c)(10). Additionally, [P]etitioner suffered the residual effects of her condition for more than six months. See 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-11(c)(1)(D)(i). Therefore, based on the current record, petitioner has satisfied all requirements for compensation under the Act. See 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-13(a)(1)(A-B).

Id. at 4-5.

In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master