In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 25-0699V

SHARON MATZEK,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: October 23, 2025

Elizabeth Kyla Abramson, Mctlaw, Washington, DC, for Petitioner.

Nathaniel Trager, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT[1]

On April 24, 2025, Sharon Matzek filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*[2] (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered Guillain-Barré Syndrome ("GBS"), a defined Table injury, or in the alternative caused-in-fact injury, after receiving an influenza ("flu") vaccine on October 6, 2023. Petition at 1, ¶¶ 2, 32. Petitioner also alleged that she received the vaccine within the United States, that she suffered the residual effects of her GBS for more than six months, and that neither she nor any other party has filed a civil action or received compensation for her GBS, alleged as vaccine caused. *Id.* at ¶¶ 2, 31,

[1] Because this Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

[2] National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

33-34. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On October 23, 2025, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, Respondent has concluded "that [P]etitioner has satisfied the criteria set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table ("Table") and Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation ("QAI"), which afford [P]etitioner a presumption of causation." *Id.* at 7.

In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master