

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 24-1858V

JANICE WEIL,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: September 24, 2025

John Beaulieu, Siri & Glimstad LLP, Louisville, KY, for Petitioner.

Margaret Armstrong, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On November 12, 2024, Janice Weil filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration resulting from an influenza vaccine received on October 17, 2023. Petition, ECF No. 1. On July 22, 2025, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 28.

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$26,415.73 (representing \$25,546.20 in fees plus \$869.53 in costs). Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed July 23, 2025, ECF No. 33. Furthermore, Petitioner filed a signed statement representing that no personal out-of-pocket expenses were incurred. ECF No. 32-3.

Respondent reacted to the motion on August 6, 2025, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-4, ECF No. 34. Petitioner filed no reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. The rates requested by attorneys John Beaulieu, Kathleen Prlich and their supporting paralegals for all time billed in this matter are reasonable and consistent with prior determinations and shall be awarded. Petitioner also requests that I apply the hourly rate of \$438.00 for work performed by attorney Joseph Baglieri in 2025. Mr. Baglieri indicated in his affidavit that he is a member of the Illinois Bar as of 2012 and was admitted to this Court in April 2025. ECF No. 32-3. Based on my experience applying the factors relevant to determining proper hourly rates for Program attorneys,³ I find the requested rate reasonable. And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred so I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 32-1 at 19-33. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **Petitioner is awarded attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of \$26,415.73 (representing \$25,546.20 in fees plus \$869.53 in costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.**

³ See *McCulloch v. HHS*, No. 09–293V, 2015 WL 5634323 at *17 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015).

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.