

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 24-1724V

LEANDRA LOGSTON and CODY
LOGSTON, parents of A.G.L., a minor,

Petitioners,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 15, 2025

Ronald C. Homer, Conway, Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for Petitioners.

Alyssa M. Petroff, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On October 23, 2024, Leandra and Cody Logston filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”) on behalf of their minor child, A.G.L. Petitioners alleges that A.G.L. suffered from Guillain-Barr syndrome (“GBS”) after receiving an influenza vaccination on October 5, 2022. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On May 7, 2025, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioners are entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent’s Rule 4(c) Report at 1.

¹ Because this Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Specifically, Respondent has indicated that he is satisfied that the criteria set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table and the Qualifications and Aids to Interpretations have been met in the instant case. *Id.* at 5.

In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioners are entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master