

accompanying documents filed in this case, as well as the relevant medical records, and Respondent has concluded that Petitioners have met their burden of proof in connecting the vaccination that G.F. received to his condition as required for entitlement under the Vaccine Act. *Id.* at 5. Respondent concedes that the evidence shows that G.F.’s intussusception manifested between one and twenty-one days after his receipt of the rotavirus vaccine, that there is no preponderant evidence that his condition was due to a factor unrelated to the vaccine, and that his intussusception “resulted in inpatient hospitalization and surgical intervention.” *Id.* at 5–6.

In view of Respondent’s concession and based on my own review of the record (*see* Section 13(a)(1); 42 C.F.R. § 100.3 (a)(I)), I find that Petitioners are entitled to compensation. A separate damages order will be issued shortly.

Any questions may be directed to my law clerk, Madison Atkinson, at madison_atkinson@cfc.uscourts.gov.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master