

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 24-96V

ROSALYN WOOLFOLK,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 7, 2025

Leigh Finfer, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.

Emily Hanson, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 23, 2024, Rosalyn Woolfolk filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration as a result of an influenza vaccine administered on December 8, 2022. Petition, ECF No. 1. On February 5, 2025, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 21.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$13,943.78 (representing \$13,445.50 in fees plus \$498.28 in costs). Application for Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed April 17, 2025, ECF No. 26. Furthermore, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2.

Respondent reacted to the motion on April 25, 2025, reporting that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 27. Petitioner filed no reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2023 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested the hourly rate of \$350.00 for 2025 work performed by attorney Leigh Finfer. However, attorney Finfer was previously awarded the lesser rate of \$330.00 per hour for work performed in 2025. See *Olszanicky v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 23-1026V, Slip Op. 32 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. April 22, 2025). I find no reason to deviate from the previously awarded rate. Accordingly, I reduce attorney Finfer's rate to \$330.00 per hour for all time billed in 2025, to be consistent with the aforementioned decision. **Application of the foregoing reduces the amount of fees to be awarded by \$88.00.**³

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 26 at 13-16. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT, in part, Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$13,855.78 (representing \$13,357.50 in fees plus \$498.28 in costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.⁴

³ This amount consists of: $(\$350.00 - \$330.00 = \$20.00 \times 4.40 \text{ hrs.}) = \88.00 .

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master