

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 23-0588V

GLENDINA HAMMOND-MARTIN,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: October 28, 2025

*Jimmy A. Zgheib, Zgheib Sayad, P.C., White Plains, NY, for Petitioner.*

*Mark Kim Hellie, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT AND DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES**<sup>1</sup>

On April 26, 2023, Glendina Hammond-Martin filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a Table injury – shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) - as the result of influenza (“flu”) vaccine received on October 5, 2022. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters. Because entitlement was contested, the parties filed briefs addressing whether Petitioner has established a Table case, and setting forth their respective arguments on damages should I find entitlement in favor of Petitioner. ECF Nos. 36, 38, 40. The parties were subsequently notified that I would resolve this dispute via an expedited “Motions Day” hearing, which took place on October 24, 2025.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this Ruling and Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner argues she has established a Table claim for SIRVA pursuant to 42 C.F.R. §§ 100.3(a)(XIV)(B) and 100.3(c)(10) and seeks an award of \$160,000.00 in compensation for Petitioner's actual pain and suffering, \$1,000 per year for future pain and suffering, \$1,874.72 in past unreimbursable expenses, plus \$1,077.49 for reimbursement of a Medicaid lien. ECF Nos. 36, 40. Respondent disputes that the Table elements have been met, arguing that Petitioner has failed to establish that the onset of her injury occurred within 48 hours of vaccination pursuant C.F.R. § 100.3(c)(10)(ii). ECF Nos. 32, 38. Otherwise, if I find that Petitioner has established a Table case, Respondent recommends an award of \$50,000.00 for actual pain and suffering, no future pain and suffering component, and \$1,182.36 in past unreimbursable expenses (but accepts the Medicaid lien calculation). ECF No. 38.

After considering the arguments of both sides, I issued an oral ruling on entitlement and damages constituting my findings of fact and conclusions of law, pursuant to Section 12(d)(3)(A). An official recording of the proceeding was taken by a court reporter, although a transcript has not yet been filed in this matter. I hereby fully adopt and incorporate that oral ruling as officially recorded. In another recent decision I discussed at length the legal standards to be considered in determining entitlement and damages and prior SIRVA compensation within SPU. I incorporate herein my prior discussion in Sections III (A) and IV (A) and (B) of *Timberlake v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 20-1905V, 2025 WL 721730 at \*1–3 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Feb. 19, 2025) to the instant Ruling and Decision. Additionally, the official recording of my oral ruling includes my discussion of various comparable cases as well as specific facts relating to Petitioner's medical history and experience that further informed my resolution of this matter.

Based on my review of the complete record as a whole and for the reasons discussed in my oral ruling, pursuant to Section 12(d)(3)(A) of the Vaccine Act I find that Petitioner has established that the onset of her shoulder injury occurred within 48 hours of the subject vaccination, and that all other SIRVA Table requirements are met (see 42 C.F.R. §§ 100.3(a)(XIV)(B), 100.3(c)(10)). Additionally, Petitioner has established the other claim requirements listed in Section 11(c), *i.e.*, receipt of a covered vaccine, etc. See *generally* § 11(c)(1)(A)(B)(E).

I therefore find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case, and that \$140,000.00 represents a fair and appropriate amount of damages for Petitioner's actual pain and suffering,<sup>3</sup> \$1,219.72 in past unreimbursable expenses (based upon the finding that some of Petitioner's more recent care was not reasonably established to be attributable to her SIRVA), and \$1,077.49 for reimbursement of the Medicaid lien in this matter. I award no future pain and suffering component, however.

---

<sup>3</sup> Since this amount is being awarded for actual, rather than projected, pain and suffering, no reduction to net present value is required. See Section 15(f)(4)(A); *Childers v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 96-

**Accordingly, I award Petitioner the following:**

- 1. A lump sum payment of \$141,219.72, representing actual pain and suffering and past unreimbursable expenses, to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement; and**
- 2. A lump sum payment of \$1,077.49, representing compensation for satisfaction of the State of Georgia Medicaid lien, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and:**

**Georgia Department of Community Health  
100 Crescent Centre Pkwy Suite 1000  
Tucker, GA 30084**

This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under Section 15(a).

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>4</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

0194V, 1999 WL 159844, at \*1 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Mar. 5, 1999) (citing *Youngblood v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, 32 F.3d 552 (Fed. Cir. 1994)).

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.