

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 22-0465V

UNPUBLISHED

CORINNE FENN, *parent and natural guardian of R.F., a minor,*

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: December 2, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;  
Table Injury; Rotavirus Vaccine;  
Intussusception

*Paul R. Brazil, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.*

*Catherine Elizabeth Stolar, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT**<sup>1</sup>

On April 25, 2022, Corinne Fenn filed a petition, on behalf of her minor child, R.F., for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that R.F. suffered a Table injury of intussusception as a result of a rotavirus vaccine received on August 18, 2021. Petition at ¶1. Petitioner further alleges that R.F. underwent surgery for treatment of intussusception. Petition at ¶5. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On December 2, 2022, Respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that Petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, Respondent states that "R.F.'s intussusception manifested between one and twenty-one days after his receipt of his second rotavirus vaccine, and there is no preponderant evidence that his condition was due to a factor unrelated to the vaccine." *Id.* at 4. Respondent further agrees that R.F.'s intussusception resulted in hospitalization and surgery. *Id.*

**In view of Respondent's position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master