

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: March 2, 2026

* * * * *

MARY FLATT, *

Petitioner, *

v. *

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES, *

Respondent. *

* * * * *

No. 22-416V

Special Master Gowen

Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for Petitioner.
James Vincent Lopez, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 19, 2026, Mary Flatt, (“petitioner”) filed a motion for attorneys’ fees and costs. Petitioner’s Motion for Attorney Fees (“Fees App.”) (ECF No. 58). For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** Petitioner’s motion for attorneys’ fees and costs and award a total of **\$41,131.56**.

I. Procedural History

On April 11, 2022, Petitioner filed a petition for compensation in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² (Petition) (ECF No. 1). Petitioner alleged that she suffered Guillain-Barre Syndrome (“GBS”) as a result of an influenza (“flu”) vaccination she received on October 29, 2019. *Id.* On November 4, 2025, the parties filed a stipulation, which I adopted as my decision awarding compensation on November 6, 2025. (ECF No. 53).

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to -34 (2018) (Vaccine Act or the Act. All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

On January 19, 2026, Petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests compensation in the total amount of \$41,131.56, representing \$31,431.30 in attorneys' fees and \$9,700.26 in costs. Fees App. at 1. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner warrants she has not personally incurred any costs in pursuit of her claim. *Id.* at 2. Respondent reacted to the fees motion on January 20, 2026, stating that "Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Response at 2 (ECF No. 59). Petitioner filed her reply on January 27, 2026. (ECF No. 60).

The matter is now ripe for adjudication.

II. Analysis

Under the Vaccine Act, the special master may award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for a petition that does not result in an award of compensation but was filed in good faith and supported by a reasonable basis. § 300aa–15(e)(1). Here, because Petitioner was awarded compensation pursuant to a stipulation, she is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Petitioners "bea[r] the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred" are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n. 1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208–09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff'd* No. 99–537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008).

a. Attorneys' Fees

Petitioner requests the following rates of compensation for her attorneys: for Ms. Leah V. Durant ("LVD"), \$395.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, \$420.00 for work performed in 2021, \$441.00 for work performed in 2022, \$463.00 for work performed in 2023, \$486.00 per hour for work performed in 2024, and \$530.00 per hour for work performed in 2025; for Mr. Christopher Williams ("CW"), \$341.00 per hour for work performed in 2022, \$358.00 per hour for work performed in 2023, and \$376.00 per hour for work performed in 2024; for Mr. Mike Milmoie ("MM"), \$553.00 per hour for work performed in 2023, \$584.00 per hour for work performed in 2024, and \$627.00 per hour for work performed in 2025; for Mr. Mateo Forero-Norena ("MF"), \$385.00 per hour for work performed in 2024, and \$412.00 per hour for work performed in 2025; and for Mr. Iain McLeod ("IM"), \$395.00 per hour for work performed in 2025. These rates are consistent with what counsel have previously been awarded for their Vaccine Program work and the undersigned finds them to be reasonable herein.

Turning next to review of the submitted billing statement, I find that the overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The entries are reasonable and accurately describe the work being performed and the length of time it took to perform each task. Respondent did not respond to the fees motion, and thus has not identified any particular entries as being objectionable. Therefore, Petitioner is entitled to final attorneys' fees of \$31,431.30.

b. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests total attorneys' costs in the amount of \$9,700.26. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records and review of those records by Mr. Timothy Hancock, postage, and the Court's filing fee. Fees App. Ex. 2. These administrative costs are typical in Vaccine Program cases and were reasonably incurred in this matter.

Petitioner also requests reimbursement for expert services provided by Dr. David Simpson at a rate of \$700.00 per hour for 10.5 hours in 2023, totaling \$7,350.00. Fees App. Ex. 2 at 14. Based on the work Dr. Simpson performed in this case, I find his total amount billed to be reasonable and will award it in full.³

III. Conclusion

In accordance with the foregoing, I hereby **GRANT** Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs and find that Petitioner is entitled to a reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$31,431.30
(Reduction of Fees)	-
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$31,431.30
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$9,700.26
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$9,700.26
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$41,131.56

Accordingly, I award a lump sum in the amount of \$41,131.56 representing reimbursement for Petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of the Court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance herewith.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen
Special Master

³ In making this finding, I do not endorse any specific hourly rate for Dr. Simpson.

⁴ Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).