

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 21-2152V

(not to be published)

LEONARD DITOMASO,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 5, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Jessica E. Choper, Britcher Leone, L.L.C., Glen Rock, NJ, for Petitioner.*

*James Vincent Lopez, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On November 9, 2021, Leonard DiTomaso filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that he suffered from Guillain-Barré syndrome following the administration of an influenza vaccine on December 31, 2019. Petition, ECF No. 1. On January 20, 2023, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 30.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$31,358.05 (representing \$30,472.50 for attorney's fees, and \$885.55 for attorney's costs). Petitioner's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed May 17, 2023, ECF No. 34. In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2. Respondent reacted to the motion on May 17, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 35. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reasons listed below.

### ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. *See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. *See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

## ATTORNEY FEES

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2022 for most of the relevant attorneys are reasonable and consistent with prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested the rate of \$400 per hour for 2023 work performed by Attorney Jessica E. Choper. Attachment to Motion at 28. I find this hourly rate to be reasonable as well, and I award it herein. I also will make no reductions to the time spent on the matter.

For paralegal work, Petitioner requests \$175 per hour for the 2020-23 timeframe. Attachment to Motion at 28. This rate exceeds the Vaccine Program's published range for paralegals for the 2020-21 timeframe, and therefore requires adjustment.<sup>3</sup> I shall instead compensate all paralegal work performed in the 2020-21 period at the reduced rate of \$163 per hour, since the sum is more comparable to what a paralegal would receive for said years. This reduces the amount of fees to be awarded by **\$147.60**.<sup>4</sup> But the requested \$175 rate for later years is within the Vaccine Program's published range for paralegals, and I therefore award it herein.

Lastly, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 34-1. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$31,210.45 (representing \$30,324.90 for attorney's fees and \$885.55 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Jessica E. Choper**. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> The OSM Attorneys' Forum Hourly Rate Schedules are available on the U.S. Court of Federal Claims website at <http://www.cofc.uscourts.gov/node/2914>

<sup>4</sup> This amount is calculated as follows:  $(\$175 - \$163) \times 12.30 \text{ hrs} = \$147.60$ .

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master