

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 21-905V

SAMANTHA BROTMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: March 27, 2024

Leigh Finfer, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.

Benjamin Patrick Warder, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On February 10, 2021, Samantha Brotman filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a Table injury – a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as a result of her receipt of an influenza vaccination on October 24, 2020. Petition, ECF No. 1. On November 17, 2023, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 38.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$11,894.24 (representing \$11,225.90 in fees plus \$668.34 in costs). Petitioner's Application for Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed Feb. 1, 2024, ECF No. 43. In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2.

Respondent reacted to the motion on Feb. 15, 2024, reporting that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 44. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter. See Informal Remark, dated Feb. 21, 2024.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2023 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations and will therefore adopted herein. Petitioner has also requested the hourly rate of \$300 for 2024 work performed by attorney Leigh Finfer, a rate increase of \$25. Motion at 15. I find this hourly rates to be reasonable and will award the attorney's fees requested. All time billed to the matter was reasonably incurred and Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. Motion at 17-26. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$11,894.24 (representing \$11,225.90 in fees plus \$668.34 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Leigh Finfer.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.