

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 20-1906V

RAYFORD HARDEN,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 9, 2025

Timothy J. Mason, Law Office of Sylvia Chin-Caplan LLC, Boston, MA, for Petitioner.

Naseem Kourosh, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On December 18, 2020, Rayford Harden filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration following an influenza vaccine he received on September 27, 2019. Petition, ECF No. 1. On September 23, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 64.

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$23,839.23 (representing \$23,116.20 in fees plus \$723.03 in costs). Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed March 24, 2025, ECF No. 69. Furthermore, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 69 at 1.

Respondent reacted to the motion on March 31, 2025, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-4, ECF No. 70. Petitioner filed no reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2024 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations and will therefore be adopted. However, I find a minor reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reasons stated below.

The billing records reveal several entries in this matter are more properly billed using a paralegal rate³. "Tasks that can be completed by a paralegal or a legal assistant should not be billed at an attorney's rate." *Riggins v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 99-382V, 2009 WL 3319818, at *21 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. June 15, 2009). "[T]he rate at which such work is compensated turns not on who ultimately performed the task but instead turns on the nature of the task performed." *Doe/11 v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. XX-XXXXV, 2010 WL 529425, at *9 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 29, 2010). Although these billing entries reflect reasonably performed tasks, they must be charged at non-attorney rates. Application of the foregoing reduces the amount of fees to be awarded by **\$704.70**.⁴

Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 69 at 20-31. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

³ Entries considered paralegal in nature include bate stamping documents, drafting basic documents such as an exhibit list, PAR Questionnaire, notice of filing exhibit list, statements of completion, cover sheet, and notices not to seek review. See billing entries dated: 5/15/2020, 8/12/2020, 10/14/2020 (two entries), 11/12/2020 (two entries), 12/18/2020, 12/22/2020, 2/2/2021 (two entries), 3/8/2021, 3/9/2021, 3/10/2021 (two entries), 3/16/2021, 6/9/2021, 7/9/2021, 7/27/2021, 7/30/2021, 8/2/2021, 2/28/2022, 3/3/2022, 3/17/2022 (three entries), 4/17/2023 (two entries), 9/19/2023, 2/23/2024, 9/23/2024. ECF no. 69 at 4-19

⁴ This amount is calculated as follows: (\$253.00 - \$163.00 = \$90 x 2.3 hrs.) (\$261.00 - \$172.00 = \$89.00 x 2.8 hrs.) (\$275.00 - \$177.00 = \$98.00 x 1 hr.) + (\$240.00 - \$186.00 = \$54.00 x .40 hrs.) + (\$300.00 - \$186.00 = \$114.00 x .6 hr.) + (\$318.00 - 197.00 = \$121.00 x .50 hrs.) = \$704.70.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT, in part, Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **Petitioner is awarded attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of \$23,134.53 (representing \$22,411.50 in fees plus \$723.03 in costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.