

CORRECTED

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

\* \* \* \* \*

|                     |   |                                   |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| MISTY GEHRKE,       | * | No. 19-1821V                      |
|                     | * |                                   |
| Petitioner,         | * |                                   |
|                     | * |                                   |
| v.                  | * | Special Master Christian J. Moran |
|                     | * |                                   |
| SECRETARY OF HEALTH | * | Filed: April 12, 2024             |
| AND HUMAN SERVICES, | * |                                   |
|                     | * |                                   |
| Respondent.         | * |                                   |

\* \* \* \* \*

Jeffrey S. Pop, Jeffrey S. Pop & Associates, Beverly Hills, CA, for Petitioner;  
Debra A. Filteau Begley, United States Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for  
Respondent.

**UNPUBLISHED DECISION AWARDING**  
**ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

Pending before the Court is petitioner Misty Gehrke's motion for final attorneys' fees and costs. She is awarded \$63,374.55.

\* \* \*

On November 27, 2019, petitioner filed for compensation under the National

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10 through 34. Petitioner alleged that the measles, mumps, and rubella (“MMR”) vaccination she received on March 5, 2019, caused her to suffer Guillain Barre Syndrome (“GBS”). On January 5, 2023, the parties filed a joint stipulation in which the undersigned adopted as his decision on January 17, 2023. 2023 WL 1883068.

On July 24, 2023, petitioner filed a motion for final attorneys’ fees and costs (“Fees App.”). Petitioner requests attorneys’ fees of \$42,462.00 and attorneys’ costs of \$20,912.55<sup>2</sup> for a total request of \$63,374.55. Fees App. Ex. 2 at 2. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, petitioner warrants that she has not personally incurred any costs related to the prosecution of his case. Fees. App. at 6. On August 24, 2023, respondent filed a response to petitioner’s motion. Respondent argues that “[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys’ fees and costs.” Response at 1. Respondent adds, however that he “is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys’ fees and costs are met in this case.” *Id.* at 2. Additionally, he recommends “that the Court exercise its discretion” when determining a reasonable award for attorneys’ fees and costs. *Id.* at 3. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

\* \* \*

Because petitioner received compensation, he is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa–15(e). Thus, the question at bar is whether the requested amount is reasonable.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs. §15(e). The Federal Circuit has approved the lodestar approach to determine reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs under the Vaccine Act. This is a two-step process. *Avera v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2008). First, a court determines an “initial estimate ... by ‘multiplying the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation times a reasonable hourly rate.’” *Id.* at 1347-48 (quoting *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 888 (1984)). Second, the court may make an upward or downward departure from the initial calculation of the fee award based on specific findings. *Id.* at 1348. Here, because the lodestar process yields a reasonable result, no additional adjustments are

---

<sup>2</sup> These costs consist of \$13,287.55 in costs (which includes expert retainer fees totaling \$7,000.00) incurred by counsel and \$7,625.00 in expert fees.

required. Instead, the analysis focuses on the elements of the lodestar formula, a reasonable hourly rate and a reasonable number of hours.

In light of the Secretary's lack of objection, the undersigned has reviewed the fee application for its reasonableness. See McIntosh v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 139 Fed. Cl. 238 (2018)

#### A. Reasonable Hourly Rates

Under the Vaccine Act, special masters, in general, should use the forum (District of Columbia) rate in the lodestar calculation. Avera, 515 F.3d at 1349. There is, however, an exception (the so-called Davis County exception) to this general rule when the bulk of the work is done outside the District of Columbia and the attorneys' rates are substantially lower. Id. 1349 (citing Davis Cty. Solid Waste Mgmt. and Energy Recovery Special Serv. Dist. v. U.S. Envtl. Prot. Agency, 169 F.3d 755, 758 (D.C. Cir. 1999)). In this case, all the attorneys' work was done outside of the District of Columbia.

Petitioner requests the following rates of compensation for the work of her counsel Jeffrey S. Pop: \$453.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$470.00 per hour for work performed in 2020/2021, and \$520.00 per hour for work performed in 2022/2023; for attorney Kristina Grigorian: \$292.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$325.00 per hour for work performed in 2020/2021, and \$410.00 per hour for work performed in 2022/2023; and for attorney Alexandra Pop: \$262.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$295.00 per hour for work performed in 2020/2021, and \$385.00 per hour for work performed in 2022/2023.

The undersigned has reviewed the requested rates and finds them to be reasonable and consistent with what the undersigned has previously awarded to Jeffrey Pop and associated for their Vaccine Program work. See, e.g. Gordon v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 20-0109V, 2023 WL 4420379 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jul. 27, 2023); Poyton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 20-2073V, 2023 WL 6389331 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Aug. 29, 2023). Accordingly, the requested hourly rates are reasonable.

#### B. Reasonable Number of Hours

The second factor in the lodestar formula is a reasonable number of hours. Reasonable hours are not excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary. See Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The Secretary also did not directly challenge any of the requested hours as unreasonable.

The undersigned has reviewed the submitted billing entries and finds the request to be reasonable. The billing entries contain sufficient detail to permit the undersigned to assess their reasonableness, and upon review none appear to be objectionable. Respondent also has not indicated that he finds any of the billing entries to be objectionable. Therefore, petitioner is awarded final attorneys' fees in the amount of \$42,462.00.

C. Costs Incurred

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992), aff'd, 33 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Petitioner requests a total of \$20,912.55 in attorneys' costs. This amount is comprised of the acquiring medical records, postage, Court's filing fee, expert consultation fees, and work performed by experts M. Eric Gershwin, M.D., and Steven Sykes, M.D. Fees App. Ex. 2 at 1. The undersigned has reviewed petitioner's request for attorneys' costs and finds the amount to be reasonable. Petitioner has supported all requested costs with documentation, and the work done by petitioner's various experts appears to be reasonable in the undersigned's experience. Accordingly, the full amount of costs is awarded.

D. Conclusion

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e). Accordingly, I award a total of **\$63,374.55** (representing \$42,462.00 in attorneys' fees and \$20,912.55 in attorneys' costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, Mr. Jeffrey S. Pop.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Christian J. Moran  
Christian J. Moran  
Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.