

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-1485V

(not to be published)

CHRISTINE ROTTINO,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 21, 2021

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Jessica E. Choper, Britcher Leone, L.L.C., Glen Rock, NJ, for Petitioner.

Sarah Christina Duncan, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On September 26, 2019, Christine Rottino filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that that she suffered Guillain Barré syndrome as a result of an influenza vaccine received on October 6, 2016. (Petition at 1). On October 15, 2020, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 28).

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated May 4, 2021, (ECF No. 34), requesting a total award of \$19,535.00 (representing \$19,077.50 in fees and \$457.50 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 34-3). Respondent reacted to the motion on May 13, 2021, indicating that he "does not object to the overall amount sought, as it is not an unreasonable amount to have been incurred for proceedings in this case to date." (ECF No. 35). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reason listed below.

ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Id.* at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S., at 434.

ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney Jessica Choper at the rate of \$400 per hour for all time billed between 2019 – 2021. Petitioner also requests the rate of \$175 per hour for all time billed by paralegal Kathy Nicita. (Id). The requested rate for Ms. Choper is reasonable and consistent with what has previously been awarded. However, the rates for Ms. Nicita require adjustment. Ms. Nicita has previously been awarded the rate of \$145 per hour for time billed in 2019 and 2020 – in each case, less than what is requested herein. See *Levine v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 19-0351V, 2021 WL 688570 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 6, 2021); *Olexsa v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 18-1295V, 2021 WL 1291784 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Mar. 4, 2020). I find no reason to deviate from the previously awarded rates in the prior cases, and therefore reduce Ms. Nicita’s rates to the rate of \$145 per hour for time billed in 2019 and 2020. This results in a reduction of **\$363.00**.³ All other time billed to the matter was reasonably spent, and shall be awarded (calculated at the aforementioned rates).

ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$457.50 in overall costs. (ECF No. 34 at 2). This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records, expert fees and reports and the Court’s filing fee. I have reviewed all of the requested costs and find them to be reasonable, and shall award them in full.

CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner’s Motion for attorney’s fees and costs. I award a total of **\$19,172.00** (representing \$18,714.50 in fees and \$457.50 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner’s counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
 Brian H. Corcoran
 Chief Special Master

³ This amount consists of $\$175 - \$145 = \$30 \times 12.1 = \363 .

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.