

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-1429V

UNPUBLISHED

C.P.,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 28, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Joint
Stipulation on Damages; Influenza
(Flu) Vaccine; Shoulder Injury
Related to Vaccine Administration
(SIRVA)

Ronald Craig Homer, Conway, Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for Petitioner.

Kyle Edward Pozza, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON JOINT STIPULATION¹

On September 18, 2019, C.P., D.O., filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that he suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”), a defined Table Injury, after receiving the influenza (“flu”) vaccine on November 23, 2016. Petition at 1, ¶¶ 2; Stipulation, filed at June 28, 2022, ¶¶ 1-2, 4. Petitioner further alleges that he received the vaccine in the United States, that he suffered the residual effects of his SIRVA injury for more than six months, and that neither he nor any other person has filed a civil action or received compensation for his SIRVA injury. Petition at ¶¶ 29-31; Stipulation at ¶¶ 3-5. “Respondent denies that [P]etitioner sustained a SIRVA Table Injury; denies that [P]etitioner’s alleged shoulder injury was caused-in-fact by the flu vaccine, and denies that the flu vaccine caused [P]etitioner any other injury or his current condition.” Stipulation at ¶ 6.

¹ When this Decision was originally filed, I advised my intent to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner filed a timely motion to redact certain information. This Decision is being posted with Petitioner’s name redacted to reflect initials only. Except for those changes and this footnote, no other substantive changes have been made. This Decision will be posted on the court’s website with no further opportunity to move for redaction.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Nevertheless, on June 28, 2022, the parties filed the attached joint stipulation, stating that a decision should be entered awarding compensation. I find the stipulation reasonable and adopt it as my decision awarding damages, on the terms set forth therein.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Stipulation, I **award** the following compensation:

A lump sum of \$152,500.00 in the form of a check payable to Petitioner. Stipulation at ¶ 8. This amount represents compensation for all items of damages that would be available under Section 15(a). *Id.*

I approve the requested amount for Petitioner's compensation. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.