

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-1163V

(not to be published)

JOEL WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 30, 2021

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Howard Dale Mishkind, Mishkind Law Firm Col, L.P.A., Beachwood, OH, for Petitioner.

Mollie Danielle Gorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On August 12, 2019, Joel Williams filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration caused by an influenza vaccine administered on September 27, 2016. (Petition at 1). On March 31, 2021, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 29).

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated May 4, 2021 (ECF No. 33), requesting a total award of \$20,878.05 (representing \$20,395.00 in fees and \$483.05 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that he incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 33-2). Respondent reacted to the motion on May 10, 2021, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. (ECF No. 35). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate for the reason listed below.

ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. *See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. *See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney Howard Mishkind at the rate of \$400 per hour for time billed between 2017 – 2019, and \$450 per hour for time billed between 2020 - 2021. (ECF No. 33-1 at 6). However, Mr. Mishkind has previously been awarded the rate of \$394 per hour for time billed in 2017. *See Spearman v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 16-1119V, 2018 WL 399127 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 10, 2018); *17-0689V Walker v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 17-0689, 2018 WL 526263 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Aug. 21, 2018). I agree with the reasoning of the previous special master in adopting this rate, and therefore similarly reduce Mr. Mishkind’s rate for work performed in 2017. The rates requested for 2018 and 2019, however, are consistent with what Mr. Mishkind has been awarded for his work in the Vaccine Program in prior cases, and I shall therefore apply them herein.

The proposed rate for Mr. Mishkind’s 2020 work falls within the experience range provided in OSM’s recently-updated rate chart for similarly-situated attorneys, but I find the specifically-requested increase (from \$400 to \$450) to be excessive.³ Rather, based on my experience applying the factors relevant to determining proper hourly rates for Program attorneys,⁴ a rate of **\$425 per hour** is more appropriate for Mr. Mishkind’s 2020 time. The requested rate of \$450 for time billed in 2021 shall be awarded. Application of the reduced rates results in a reduction of attorney fees in the amount of **\$261.60**.⁵

ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$483.05 in overall costs. (ECF No. 33-1 at 6). This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records, shipping fees and the Court’s filing fee. I have reviewed all of the requested costs and find them to be reasonable and shall therefore award them in full.

CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner’s Motion for attorney’s fees and costs. I

³ The Attorneys’ Fee Schedule for 2021 is available at <http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/node/2914>.

⁴ *See McCulloch v. Health and Human Services*, No. 09–293V, 2015 WL 5634323 at *17 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015).

⁵ This amount consists of $(\$400 - \$394 = \$6 \times 6.1 \text{ hrs} = \$36.60) + (\$450 - \$425 = \$25 \times 9 \text{ hrs} = \$225) = \$261.60$.

award a total of **\$20,616.45** (representing \$20,133.40 in fees and \$483.05 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.⁶

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

⁶ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.