

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1874V

UNPUBLISHED

DOREEN WYFFELS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: March 2, 2021

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Ruling on Entitlement; Table Injury;
Influenza (Flu) Vaccine; Shoulder
Injury Related to Vaccine
Administration (SIRVA)

Bridget Candace McCullough, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.

Lara Ann Englund, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On December 6, 2018, Doreen Wyffels filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) as a result of an influenza (“flu”) vaccine she received on October 4, 2017. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On January 26, 2021, I issued Findings of Fact in which I determined that Petitioner’s shoulder pain occurred within 48 hours of vaccination. ECF No. 27 at 2. In reaction, on February 27, 2021, Respondent filed an Amended Rule 4(c) Report indicating that while preserving his right to appeal the January 26, 2021 Fact Finding, he “does not

¹ Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

dispute that [P]etitioner has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act.” Respondent’s Rule 4(c) Report at 5 (citing 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-13).

Specifically, Respondent stated as follows:

Based on the Chief Special Master’s fact ruling, and medical record evidence submitted in this case, [the Secretary] will not continue to contest that petitioner suffered SIRVA as defined by the Vaccine Injury Table . . . In addition, petitioner suffered the residual effects of [her] condition for more than six months. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-11(c)(1)(D)(i). Therefore, based on the record as it stands and subject to his right to appeal the Findings of Fact, respondent does not dispute that petitioner has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-13.

Id. at 4-5.

In view of Respondent’s position and the evidence of record, I find that Petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master