

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1846V

Filed: July 8, 2019

UNPUBLISHED

DOLORES DE ALATORRE PEREZ, as
Mother and Natural Guardian of Minor,
D.A., and ANTONINO ALATORRE
GUTIERREZ, as Father and Natural of
Minor, D.A.,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;
Table Injury; Tetanus Diphtheria
acellular Pertussis (Tdap) Vaccine;
Meningococcal Vaccine; Vasovagal
Syncope

Jeffrey S. Pop, Jeffrey S. Pop & Associates, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner.

Camille Michelle Collett, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On December 3, 2018, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that DA suffered a syncopal episode upon receiving a Tetanus Diphtheria acellular Pertussis (“Tdap”) and Meningococcal vaccines on June 22, 2017. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

¹ The undersigned intends to post this ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755.

On July 5, 2019, respondent filed his Rule 4(c) report in which he concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, respondent concluded that petitioner has satisfied the criteria set forth in the recently revised Vaccine Injury Table ("Table") and the Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation ("QAI") related to a vasovagal syncope episode after a Tdap and meningococcal vaccination. *Id.* at 6. Respondent further agrees that petitioner experienced more than six months of residual effects. *Id.*

In view of respondent's position and the evidence of record, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master