

On September 24, 2020, respondent filed his amended Rule 4(c) report in which he states that he does not contest that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Resp. Report (ECF No. 39) at 1. Specifically, respondent states that in light of my Findings of Fact issued on August 20, 2020 (ECF No. 36), respondent “will not defend the case on other grounds during further proceedings before the Office of Special Masters.” *Id.* at 2. Respondent further agrees that “[w]hile preserving his right to appeal the special master’s August 20, 2020 Findings of Fact, respondent submits that petitioner has otherwise satisfied the criteria set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table and the Qualifications and Aids to Interpretation (QAI) for SIRVA.” *Id.* at 2-3 (citing 42 C.F.R. §§ 100.3(a)(XIV) and (c)(10)).

In view of respondent’s position and the evidence of record, I find that petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas L. Gowen
Thomas L. Gowen
Special Master