

On April 16, 2019, Respondent filed a Rule 4(c) Report stating that this case is not appropriate for compensation pursuant to the Vaccine Act. Resp't's Rep. at 1-2, ECF No. 15. More specifically, Respondent stated that

Petitioners do not allege, nor does the record support, an injury covered by the Vaccine Injury Table. *See* 42 C.F.R. § 100.3. Accordingly, petitioners must demonstrate with reliable medical evidence that a non-Table injury was caused-in-fact by a vaccine listed on the Table (actual causation or causation-in-fact). Petitioners have not provided sufficiently reliable evidence of causation that satisfies the elements of *Althen*, as described above, which are required to establish a prima facie case that the vaccines that JS received can cause or significantly aggravate JS's condition in this case.

Id. at 5.

During a status conference on April 19, 2019, Petitioners requested 90 days to file expert reports. *See* Scheduling Order on 4/19/2019, ECF No. 16. Petitioners requested numerous extensions of time, but ultimately did not file an expert report. *See* ECF Nos. 26-32, 34-36, 39.

Petitioners filed the instant motion to dismiss their claim on April 23, 2021, stating "petitioners' review of the case decisions of the Office of Special Masters, the United States Court of Federal Claims and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, have demonstrated to petitioners that they will be unable to prove that petitioners are entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("VICP")." Pet'r's Mot. at 1, ECF No. 42.

II. Conclusion

To receive compensation in the Vaccine Program, a petitioner must prove either (1) that he suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to his vaccination, or (2) that he suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. *See* §§ 13(a)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1). Moreover, under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not receive a Vaccine Program award based solely on his claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent medical expert. § 13(a)(1). In this case, however, there is insufficient evidence in the record for Petitioners to meet their burden of proof. Petitioners' claim therefore cannot succeed and, in accordance with their motion, must be dismissed. § 11(c)(1)(A).

As such, **IT IS ORDERED THAT,**

Petitioners' motion for a decision dismissing their petition is **GRANTED** and the petition is hereby **DISMISSED**. **The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**

Any questions regarding this Order may be directed to my law clerk, Sydney Lee, by telephone at 202-357-6347, or by email at Sydney_Lee@cfc.uscourts.gov.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Katherine E. Oler
Katherine E. Oler
Special Master