

After the claim's initiation, Petitioner filed medical records and exhibits to supplement her Petition. Shortly thereafter, Respondent filed a Rule 4(c) report taking the position that Petitioner was not entitled to compensation. Resp.'s Report, filed July 24, 2019 (ECF No. 17).

On September 11, 2019, Petitioner's counsel contacted my chambers and indicated that she now wanted to dismiss her claim. A status conference with the parties was held on September 30, 2019, and Petitioner reiterated her desire to dismiss her claim. I instructed Petitioner that she should file a motion for decision to that end, and she has done so. Mot. to Dismiss, filed October 7, 2019 (ECF No. 18). In it, Petitioner explains—as she had done verbally in the earlier status conference—that an investigation of the facts and science demonstrated to her that she would be unable to prove she was entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Program. *Id.* at 2. Petitioner acknowledged that under such circumstances it would be unreasonable to waste the resources of the court, Respondent, and Vaccine Program. *Id.* Respondent has not lodged an objection to the claim's dismissal.

Under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not receive a Vaccine Program award based solely on her claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. Section 13(a)(1). In this case, there is insufficient evidence in the record for Petitioner to meet her burden of proof. Therefore, without an entitlement hearing, Petitioner's claim cannot succeed and must be dismissed. Section 11(c)(1)(A).

Accordingly, I hereby **DISMISS** Petitioner's case. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment if (jointly or separately) they file notices renouncing their right to seek review.