In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 18-743V
(Unpublished)

ROCCO E. MOAT, Petitioner, v. SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, Respondent.	Special Master Katherine E. Oler Filed: July 31, 2019 Order Concluding Proceedings; Vaccine Rule 21(a).

Jerome A. Hierseman, End, Hierseman & Crain, LLC, Milwaukee, WI, for Petitioner.

Robert P. Coleman, III, U.S. Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS[1]

On July 31, 2019, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal in the above-captioned case. ECF No. 21.

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a), the above-captioned case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

Any questions regarding this Order may be directed to my law clerk, Ahmed Almudallal, by email at Ahmed_Almudallal@cfc.uscourts.gov.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Katherine E. Oler
Katherine E. Oler
Special Master

[1] Although this Decision has been formally designated "not to be published," it will nevertheless be posted on the Court of Federal Claims's website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 (2012). **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet**. As provided by 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B), however, the parties may object to the decision's inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. Specifically, under Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has fourteen days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the Decision in its present form will be available. *Id.*

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO