

petitioner's claim was appropriate for compensation. Resp. Rpt., ECF No. 26 at 1. Specifically, respondent agrees with petitioner's claim that he suffered the Table injury of GBS following the flu vaccine. *Id.* at 5. Respondent further states that there is no preponderant evidence that petitioner's GBS was due to a factor unrelated to the vaccination. *Id.* Based on a review of the medical records, respondent states that petitioner has met the applicable statutory requirements by suffering his condition for more than six months and that, therefore, petitioner has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act. *Id.* Respondent requests that the scope of damages to be awarded be limited to petitioner's GBS and related sequelae only. *Id.*

A special master may determine whether a petitioner is entitled to compensation based upon the record. A hearing is not required. §300aa-13; Vaccine Rule 8(d). In light of respondent's concession and a review of the record, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation. This matter shall now proceed to the damages phase.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Mindy Michaels Roth
Mindy Michaels Roth
Special Master