

On August 21, 2018, Respondent filed a Rule 4(c) Report. Resp't's Rep., ECF No. 18. Respondent stated that Petitioner "fail[ed] to establish by preponderant evidence that the vaccine administration caused [P]etitioner to suffer SIRVA." *Id.* at 6. Respondent concluded that "[P]etitioner is not entitled to compensation." *Id.* at 7.

I held a status conference via telephone with the parties on March 29, 2019 to discuss how Petitioner wanted to proceed. Following the call, Petitioner was given an opportunity to file an expert report in support of his claim by May 28, 2019. *See* 3/29/19 Scheduling Order, ECF No. 22. On May 28, 2019, Petitioner moved for an extension to file his expert report until July 29, 2019. ECF No. 23. I granted his motion. *See* 5/28/19 Non-PDF Order. On July 29, 2019, Petitioner filed a status report indicating that he "has been unable to retain an expert in this matter" and requested thirty days to file a subsequent status report informing the Court how he wished to proceed. ECF No. 24. On August 29, 2019, Petitioner moved for an extension until September 27, 2019 to file his status report or a motion to dismiss. ECF No. 25.

Petitioner filed the instant motion to dismiss his claim on September 30, 2019, indicating that "[an] investigation of the facts and science supporting his case demonstrated to [P]etitioner that he will be unable to prove that he is entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Program." *See* Pet'r's Mot., ECF No. 26.

To receive compensation under the Vaccine Program, a petitioner must prove either (1) that he suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to his vaccination, or (2) that he suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. *See* Sections 13(a)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1). Moreover, under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not receive a Vaccine Program award based solely on his claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent medical expert. Section 13(a)(1). In this case, however, there is insufficient evidence in the record for Petitioner to meet his burden of proof. Petitioner's claim therefore cannot succeed and, in accordance with his motion, must be dismissed. Section 11(c)(1)(A).

Thus, this case is DISMISSED for insufficient proof. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Katherine E. Oler

Katherine E. Oler

Special Master