

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: May 19, 2020

* * * * *

MATTHEW MCDERMOTT,	*	UNPUBLISHED
	*	
Petitioner,	*	No. 17-1401V
	*	Special Master Gowen
v.	*	
	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	

* * * * *

Robert J. Krakow, Law Office of Robert J. Krakow, P.C., New York, NY, for Petitioner.
Mallori B. Openchowski, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On February 3, 2020, Matthew McDermott ("Petitioner") filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs ("Fees App.") (ECF No. 42). For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs and award a total of **\$44,454.48**.

I. Procedural History

On October 2, 2017, Petitioner filed a petition in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² Petitioner alleged that he suffered optic neuritis and anterior ischemic optical neuropathy as a result of receiving an influenza ("flu") vaccine on October 3, 2014. *See* Petition (ECF No. 1). On October 7, 2019, petitioner filed a motion for a decision dismissing the

¹ I intend to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

petition pursuant to Rule 21(a), and on the same day I issued my decision dismissing the petition for insufficient proof. (ECF No. 38).

On February 3, 2020, Petitioner filed a motion for final attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests compensation for his attorney, Mr. Robert Krakow, in the total amount of \$44,454.58, representing \$37,738.30 in attorneys' fees and \$6,716.28 in costs. Fees App. at 25. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner warrants he has not personally incurred any costs in pursuit of his claim. Fees App. Ex. 5. Respondent reacted to the fees motion on February 13, 2020, stating that "Respondent defers to the court regarding whether the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case" and asking that I exercise my discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs. Response at 2,4. (ECF No. 43). Petitioner filed a reply on February 13, 2020, indicating "Petitioner respectfully relies on the facts, law and argument presented in his submission filed on February 3, 2020." Reply at 1. (ECF No. 44).

The matter is now ripe for adjudication.

II. Analysis

Under the Vaccine Act, the special master may award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for a petition that does not result in an award of compensation but was filed in good faith and supported by a reasonable basis. § 300aa-15(e)(1). Here, I do not doubt that the petition was filed in good faith and upon review of the record, I find that there was a reasonable basis to file the petition. Additionally, Respondent has not challenged the reasonable basis of the claim. Accordingly, Petitioner is entitled to a final award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Petitioners "bea[r] the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred" are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n. 1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208-09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff'd* No. 99-537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008).

a. Attorneys' Fees

I have reviewed the rates requested by Petitioner for the work of his counsel, Mr. Robert Krakow, and I find the rates requested herein to be consistent with what Mr. Krakow has previously been awarded for his Vaccine Program work and reasonable in light for the work performed in this case.

Turning next to review of the submitted billing statement, I find that the overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The entries are reasonable and accurately describe the work being performed and the length of time it took to perform each task. Respondent also has not identified any particular entries as being objectionable. Therefore, Petitioner is entitled to final attorneys' fees of **\$37,738.30**.

b. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests total attorneys' costs in the amount of \$6,716.28. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records, postage, the Court's filing fee, and work performed by Petitioner's expert, Dr. Dean Cestari. Fees App. Ex. 3 at 1. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation supporting all of the requested costs, and all appear reasonable in my experience. Petitioner is therefore entitled to the full amount of costs sought.

III. Conclusion

In accordance with the foregoing, Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs is **GRANTED**. I find that Petitioner is entitled to a reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$37,738.30
(Reduction of Fees)	-
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$37,738.30
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$6,716.28
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$6,716.28
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$44,454.48

Accordingly, I award a lump sum in the amount of \$44,454.48, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner and his attorney, Mr. Robert Krakow.³

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

³ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs," and fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

⁴ Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).

/s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen
Special Master