

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: June 29, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

LAUREN JANKOWSKI, *on behalf of*  
L.J., *a minor*,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

No. 17-718V

Special Master Sanders

Dismissal; Insufficient Proof;  
Influenza (“flu”) Vaccine; Idiopathic  
Thrombocytopenic Purpura (“ITP”)

Mark T. Sadaka, Mark T. Sadaka, LLC, Englewood, NJ, for Petitioner.  
Heather L. Pearlman, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

### DECISION<sup>1</sup>

On May 31, 2017, Lauren Jankowski (“Petitioner”) filed a petition on behalf of L.J., a minor, pursuant to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (“Vaccine Program”).<sup>2</sup> 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to -34 (2012). Petitioner alleged that L.J. suffered from idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (“ITP”) as a result of an influenza (“flu”) vaccine he received on November 18, 2014. Pet. at 1, ECF No. 1. The information in the record, however, does not show entitlement to an award under the Program.

On June 29, 2018, Petitioner submitted a motion to dismiss her petition. ECF No. 29. In her motion, Petitioner conceded that “[a]n investigation of the facts and science supporting her case has demonstrated to [P]etitioner that she will be unable to prove that she is entitle[d] to

---

<sup>1</sup> This decision shall be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, such material will be deleted from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

compensation in the Vaccine Program.” *Id.* at 1. Respondent did not object. *Id.* at 2.

To receive compensation under the Program, Petitioner must prove either (1) that L.J. suffered a “Table Injury”—i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table—corresponding to the vaccination, or (2) that he suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. *See* §§ 13(a)(1)(A), 11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that L.J. suffered a “Table Injury.” Further, the record does not contain persuasive evidence that L.J.’s alleged injury was caused by the flu vaccine.

Under the Act, petitioners may not be given a Program award based solely on their claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by medical records or the opinion of a competent physician. § 13(a)(1). In this case, the medical records are insufficient to prove Petitioner’s claim, and Petitioner has not filed a supportive opinion from an expert witness. Therefore, this case must be **dismissed for insufficient proof. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Herbrina D. Sanders  
Herbrina D. Sanders  
Special Master