

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-0546V

Filed: August 29, 2018

UNPUBLISHED

SKY SORENSEN,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorneys' Fees and Costs

*Andrew Donald Downing, Van Cott & Talamante, PLLC, Phoenix, AZ, for petitioner.
Camille Michelle Collett, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On April 18, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury caused by the administration of her September 23, 2016 human papilloma virus ("HPV") vaccine. Petition at 1. On August 7, 2018, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 34).

On August 16, 2018, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 38). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$24,380.50 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,016.40. *Id.* at 1. In accordance with General Order #9,

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 3. Thus, the total amount requested is \$25,396.90.

On August 17, 2018, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. (ECF No. 39). Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the Chief Special Master exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 3.

By email communication on August 17, 2018, petitioner's counsel confirmed petitioner did not intend to file a reply.

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request and finds a reduction in the amount of fees and costs to be awarded appropriate for the reasons listed below.

Upon review of the billing records submitted, it appears that a number of entries are for tasks considered clerical or administrative. In the Vaccine Program, secretarial work "should be considered as normal overhead office costs included within the attorneys' fee rates." *Rochester v. U.S.*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989); *Dingle v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 08-579V, 2014 WL 630473, at *4 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 24, 2014). "[B]illing for clerical and other secretarial work is not permitted in the Vaccine Program." *Mostovoy*, 2016 WL 720969, at *5 (citing *Rochester*, 18 Cl. Ct. at 387). A total of 3.20 hours³ was billed by the paralegal on tasks considered administrative, consisting of reviewing invoices and processing payments. For these reasons the undersigned will reduce the attorney's fees request in the amount of \$390.00.⁴

The undersigned has reviewed the request for attorney costs incurred by Mr. Downing in the case, such as expenses for photocopying, record duplication, and postage, and finds a majority of such expenses to be reasonable. However, the undersigned will reduce several requested expenses as administrative expenses, such as costs associated with "incoming faxes." (ECF No. at 38-1 at 38). In the

³ Examples of these entries include: December 5, 2016 (0.20 hrs) "Process payment to Health Information for medical records.", April 27, 2017 (0.20 hrs) "Process payment for medical records to St. Charles Health System.", October 5, 2017 (0.20 hrs) "Receive and review invoice for medical records from Costal Family Medicine; process invoice for payment." and May 7, 2018 (0.20 hrs) "Process payment for medical records to Columbia Memorial Hospital." (ECF No 38-1 at 21-23, 27 and 30). These entries are merely example and are not exhaustive.

⁴ This amount consists of 1.2 hours at \$100 per hour, and 2 hours at \$135 per hour.

undersigned's view, these claimed costs by Mr. Downing are better categorized as overhead expenses inherent in operating a law firm, and thus not compensable. See *Bourche v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 15-232V, 2017 WL 2480936, at *5 (Fed. Cl. May 11, 2017) (noting that the operation of a fax machine is part of a law firm's general overhead, and cautioning Mr. Downing to exercise billing judgment in the future). This results in a reduction amount of \$87.00.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$24,919.90⁵ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Andrew Donald Downing.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁶

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

⁵ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁶ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.