

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: November 13, 2019

KRISTY BOONE, Mother and next friend	*	No. 17-54V
of KAYLEE ANNE BOONE,	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	Special Master Sanders
v.	*	
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	

DISMISSAL¹

On January 13, 2017, Kristy Boone (“Petitioner”), on behalf of Kaylee Anne Boone, filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program² (“Vaccine Program” or “Program”). 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 to 34 (2012). Petitioner alleged that her daughter “received the PCV 13, HBV, IPV, DTap, HIB, and Rotavirus vaccines on January 9, 2015, and thereafter suffered a reaction which led to [her] death, which was ‘caused-in-fact’ by the . . . vaccinations.” Pet. at 1, ECF No. 1.

On November 12, 2019, Petitioner filed an a Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss pursuant to Rule 21(a). ECF No. 64. In her motion, Petitioner conceded that “after further review, our expert pathologist would be unlikely to definitively state that Petitioner’s [daughter’s] death was more likely than not caused by the vaccine.” *Id.* at 1. She continued, “to proceed further would be unreasonable and would waste the resources of the [c]ourt, the Respondent, and the Vaccine Program.” *Id.* Respondent had no objection to Petitioner’s motion. Inf. Comm., docketed Nov. 13, 2019.

¹ This decision shall be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, such material will be deleted from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755 (“the Vaccine Act” or “Act”). Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of Court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with this order dismissing Petitioner's claim.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Herbrina D. Sanders
Herbrina D. Sanders
Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.