

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-0283V

Filed: February 15, 2017

Unpublished

HEATHER MOREAU, Executor, *
Estate of DOUGLAS C. RIEMER, *

Petitioner, *

v. *

SECRETARY OF HEALTH *
AND HUMAN SERVICES, *

Respondent. *

Edward M. Kraus, Law Offices of Chicago Kent, Chicago, IL, for petitioner.

Glenn A. MacLeod, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On February 29, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"), on behalf of the Estate of Douglas C. Riemer. Petitioner alleged that Mr. Riemer's death on March 5, 2015, was caused in fact by the Varicella vaccine he received on February 4, 2015. On November 22, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 22).

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On February 15, 2017, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 30).³ Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$24,096.30, attorneys' costs in the amount of \$453.04, and petitioner's costs in the amount of \$3,100.00, for a total amount of \$27,649.34. *Id.*

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$27,649.34⁴ as follows:

- (1) A lump sum of \$24,549.34 representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, Edward M. Kraus; and**
- (2) A lump sum of \$3,100.00 representing reimbursement for petitioner's costs, in the form of a check payable to petitioner.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

³ The motion was filed as an unopposed motion and states that respondent has no objection to the total amount of fees and costs being sought in this case. However, respondent's lack of objection to the amount sought in this case should not be construed as admission, concession, or waiver as to the hourly rates requested, the number of hours billed, or the other litigation related costs.

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.