

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-0224V

Filed: May 12, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

| | | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| LINDSAY DESROSIERS, | * | |
| | * | |
| Petitioner, | * | Ruling on Entitlement; Concession; |
| v. | * | Tetanus-Diphtheria-Acellular-Pertussis |
| | * | Vaccine (“Tdap”); Shoulder Injury |
| SECRETARY OF HEALTH | * | Related to Vaccine Administration |
| AND HUMAN SERVICES, | * | (“SIRVA”); Special Processing Unit |
| | * | (“SPU”) |
| Respondent. | * | |
| | * | |

Paul R. Brazil, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner.
David Gregory Cutler, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On February 16, 2016, Lindsay Desrosiers (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury as a result of a tetanus-diphtheria-acellular-pertussis (“Tdap”) vaccine she received on March 9, 2015. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On May 12, 2016, respondent filed her Rule 4(c) report in which she concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent’s Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, respondent states that petitioner’s injury is consistent with shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”), and that it was caused by the Tdap vaccine administered to petitioner on March 9, 2015 *Id.* at 4. Respondent further

¹ Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

agrees that the evidence shows that petitioner suffered the sequela of her injury for more than six months. *Id.*

In view of respondent's concession and the evidence before me, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master