

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-0002V

Filed: May 2, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

\*\*\*\*\*

DANIELLE LIPSCOMB MALONE,

Petitioner,

v.  
SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;  
Influenza (“Flu”) Vaccine; Shoulder  
Injury Related to Vaccine Administration  
 (“SIRVA”); Special Processing Unit  
 (“SPU”)

\*\*\*\*\*

*Sean Wesley Lyons, Nashville, TN, for petitioner.*

*Christine Mary Becer, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT**<sup>1</sup>

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On January 4, 2016, Danielle Lipscomb Malone (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she received an influenza (“flu”) vaccine on November 26, 2013, and thereafter suffered from left shoulder pain which was caused-in-fact by the flu vaccine. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On April 29, 2016, respondent filed her Rule 4(c) report in which she concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent’s Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, respondent states that “petitioner’s alleged injury is consistent with a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (‘SIRVA’) and recommends that compensation be awarded in this case.” *Id.* at 1. Respondent further states that the six month sequela requirement has been satisfied. *Id.* at 3.

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

**In view of respondent's concession and the evidence before me, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**

Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master