

during a telephonic status conference held on March 28, 2016, indicated that Petitioner accepts Respondent's Proffer as a reasonable measure of the amount of the award in this case.

I have reviewed the file, and based on that review, I conclude that the Respondent's Proffer appears to be an appropriate one, pursuant to § 300aa-15(b). (I have attached a copy of the Proffer to this decision.) I order that Respondent make a lump sum payment as described below.

- Respondent shall make a total lump sum payment of \$120,000.00, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner, representing compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. §300aa-15(a).

Under the statute governing the Program, as well as the "Vaccine Rules" adopted by this court, the special master must now enter a decision endorsing that Proffer, and the clerk must enter judgment, in order to authorize payment of the award. See § 300aa-12(d)(3)(A) and (e)(3); § 300aa-13(a); Vaccine Rules 10(a), 11(a).³

Robert Rowan is entitled to an award under the Vaccine Act to provide compensation for his injury. The award shall be in the form of a lump sum payment, as provided above.

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review of this Decision, the clerk shall enter judgment in accord with this Decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ George L. Hastings, Jr.
George L. Hastings, Jr.
Special Master

³ The "Vaccine Rules of the United States Court of Federal Claims" are found in Appendix B of the Rules of the United States Court of Federal Claims.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

ROBERT ROWAN,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	No. 15-1402V
)	Special Master Hastings
v.)	ECF
)	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND)	
HUMAN SERVICES,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

RESPONDENT’S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION

I. Compensation for Vaccine Injury-Related Items

On March 7, 2016, respondent filed a Rule 4(c) Report conceding that petitioner was entitled to vaccine compensation for his Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (“SIRVA”) injury. Respondent proffers that, based on the evidence of record, petitioner should be awarded \$120,000.00. This amount represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a)(1); 15(a)(3)(A); and 15(a)(4). Petitioner agrees.

II. Form of the Award

Respondent recommends that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment as described below, and requests that the special master’s decision and the Court’s judgment award the following:¹

¹ Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, respondent reserves the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future pain and suffering, and future lost wages.

- A. A lump sum payment of \$120,000.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Robert Rowan. This amount accounts for all elements of compensation under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a) to which petitioner would be entitled.

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN C. MIZER
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

RUPA BHATTACHARYYA
Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

VINCENT J. MATANOSKI
Deputy Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

ALEXIS B. BABCOCK
Senior Trial Attorney
Torts Branch, Civil Division

/s/ Jason C. Bougere
JASON C. BOUGERE
Trial Attorney
Torts Branch, Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 146
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146
Phone: (202) 307-2737

Dated: March 28, 2016