

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-0992V

Filed: February 2, 2016

Unpublished

\*\*\*\*\*

SARA MILLER,	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	Damages Decision Based on Proffer;
	*	Tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis
	*	("Tdap") Vaccine; Shoulder Injury
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Related to Vaccine Administration
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	("SIRVA"); Special Processing Unit
	*	("SPU")
Respondent.	*	
	*	

\*\*\*\*\*

*Maximillian Muller, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner.*  
*Darryl Wishard, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES**<sup>1</sup>

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On September 9, 2015, Sara Miller ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> [the "Vaccine Act" or "Program"]. Petitioner alleged that as a result of receiving the tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis ("Tdap") vaccine on October 29, 2014, she suffered a left shoulder injury. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit ("SPU") of the Office of Special Masters.

On January 28, 2016, the undersigned issued a ruling on entitlement finding petitioner entitled to compensation for SIRVA. On February 2, 2016, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation ("Proffer") indicating petitioner should be awarded \$105,281.03. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, respondent represented that petitioner agrees with the proffered award.

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012)(Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **the undersigned awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$105,281.03 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Sara Miller.** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**

Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

SARA MILLER,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	No. 15-992V ECF
	)	
v.	)	Chief Special Master Dorsey
	)	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	)	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION<sup>1</sup>**

**I. Procedural History**

On September 9, 2015, Sara Miller (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation (“Petition”) under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34, *as amended*. Petitioner alleges that as a result of receiving the tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (“Tdap”) vaccine on October 29, 2014, she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) in her left shoulder that was caused-in-fact by her vaccination. On January 28, 2016, respondent filed her Vaccine Rule 4(c) report, conceding causation-in-fact for petitioner’s SIRVA. On January 28, 2016, the Chief Special Master issued a ruling on entitlement, finding that petitioner was entitled to compensation for SIRVA.

**II. Items of Compensation**

Based upon the evidence of record, respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded \$105,281.03, which represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

---

<sup>1</sup> This Proffer does not include attorneys’ fees and costs, which the parties intend to discuss after the Damages Decision is issued.

**III. Form of the Award**

Respondent recommends that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment of \$105,281.03, in the form of a check payable to petitioner.<sup>2</sup>

Petitioner agrees.

Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN C. MIZER  
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

RUPA BHATTACHARYYA  
Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

VINCENT J. MATANOSKI  
Deputy Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

MICHAEL P. MILMOE  
Senior Trial Counsel  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

*/s/Darryl R. Wishard*  
DARRYL R. WISHARD  
Senior Trial Attorney  
Torts Branch, Civil Division  
U. S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 146, Benjamin Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146  
Direct dial: (202) 616-4357  
Fax: (202) 616-4310

Dated: February 2, 2016

---

<sup>2</sup> Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future lost earnings, and future pain and suffering, and the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief.