

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 14-755V

Filed: September 15, 2015

*****		UNPUBLISHED
DANIEL WERNER,	*	
	*	Special Master Hamilton-Fieldman
Petitioner,	*	
v.	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs; Reasonable
	*	Amount Requested to which Respondent
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Does Not Object.
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	

Diana S. Sedar, Maglio, Christopher, and Toale, PA, Sarasota, FL, for Petitioner.
Julia W. McInerney, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION¹

On August 20, 2014, Daniel Werner (“Petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 *et seq.* (2006) (“Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleged that the administration of an influenza (“flu”) vaccine on October 22, 2012, caused him to suffer from Brachial Neuritis. Petition (“Pet.”) at 1-3. On August 3, 2015, the undersigned filed a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner. Decision, ECF No. 26.

On September 15, 2015, Petitioner filed a “Stipulation of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs”. Pursuant to their Stipulation, the parties have agreed to an award of \$10,882.17 in attorneys’ fees and costs. Petitioner’s counsel represents that Petitioner has not incurred any costs in pursuit of his claim. Declaration, ECF No. 32.

The undersigned finds that this petition was brought in good faith and that there existed a reasonable basis for the claim. Therefore, an award for fees and costs is appropriate, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(b) and (e)(1). Further, the proposed amount seems reasonable and appropriate. **Accordingly, the undersigned hereby awards the amount of \$10,882.17, in the**

¹ The undersigned intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107 347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to file a motion for redaction “of any information furnished by that party (1) that is trade secret or commercial or financial information and is privileged or confidential, or (2) that are medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” In the absence of such motion, the entire decision will be available to the public. *Id.*

form of a check made payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Diana L. Stadelnikas Sedar of Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota, Florida, 34236.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Lisa D. Hamilton-Fieldman
Lisa D. Hamilton-Fieldman
Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.