

demonstrated to petitioner that she will be unable to prove she is entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Program.” Motion for Dismissal at ¶ 1. Petitioner states that she understands that a decision by the Special Master will result in a judgment against her, and that such a judgment will end all rights in the Vaccine Program. *Id.* at ¶ 3. Respondent did not file a response to petitioner’s motion.

To receive compensation under the Program, petitioner must prove either 1) that she suffered a “Table Injury”—i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table—corresponding to her vaccination, or 2) that she suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. *See* §§ 300aa-13(a)(1)(A) and 300aa-11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that the petitioner suffered a “Table Injury,” nor does petitioner allege that she suffered a Table injury. Further, the record does not contain a medical expert’s opinion or any other persuasive evidence indicating that petitioner’s injuries were caused by a vaccination.

Under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not be awarded compensation based solely on the petitioner’s claims. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa-13(a)(1). In this case, because the medical records are insufficient to establish entitlement to compensation, a medical opinion must be offered in support. Petitioner, however, has not offered a medical expert opinion.

Therefore, the only alternative remains to DENY this petition. **Thus, this case is dismissed for insufficient proof. In the absence of a motion for review, the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master