

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
(Filed: October 27, 2014)

* * * * *	*	UNPUBLISHED
ASHLEY BURKART,	*	
	*	No. 14-581V
Petitioner,	*	
	*	
v.	*	Special Master Dorsey
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	Ruling on Entitlement; Conceded;
	*	Tetanus-Diphtheria-Acellular Pertussis;
Respondent.	*	Vaccine (DTaP); Shoulder Injury Related to
	*	Vaccine Administration; SIRVA.
* * * * *	*	

Thomas P. Gallagher, Esq., Somers Point, NJ, for petitioner.
Jennifer Leigh Reynaud, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

RULING ON ENTITLEMENT¹

On July 9, 2014, Ashley Burkart (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (“the Program”)² alleging that she received an tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (TDaP) vaccination in her left shoulder on September 2, 2012, and thereafter developed a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (SIRVA). See Petition at 1.

On October 27, 2014, respondent filed a report pursuant to Vaccine Rule 4(c) in which she concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent’s Report at 1, 4-

¹ Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post this decision on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002 § 205, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 (2006). In accordance with the Vaccine Rules, each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, such material will be deleted from public access.

² The Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 et seq. (hereinafter “Vaccine Act” or “the Act”). Hereafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

5. Specifically, respondent agrees that the alleged injury is consistent with shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”). Id. Based on a review of the medical records, respondent states that petitioner has met the applicable statutory requirements by suffering her condition for more than six months and that, therefore, petitioner has satisfied all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Act. Id.

A special master may determine whether a petitioner is entitled to compensation based upon the record. A hearing is not required. §300aa-13; Vaccine Rule 8(d). In light of respondent’s concession and a review of the record, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation. This matter shall now proceed to the damages phase.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Special Master