

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**

**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Case No. 13-488V

**Filed: March 6, 2015**

\* \* \* \* \*

CYNTHIA K. WILLIAMS,

\*

UNPUBLISHED

\*

Petitioner,

\*

v.

\*

Special Master Dorsey

\*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;

\*

Reasonable Amount Requested to which

\*

Respondent Does not Object.

Respondent.

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \*

Ramon Rodríguez, III, Rawls, McNelis & Mitchell, P.C., Richmond, VA, for petitioner.  
Gordon E. Sheman, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

**ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS DECISION<sup>1</sup>**

On July 18, 2013, Cynthia K. Williams (“petitioner”) filed a petition pursuant to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.<sup>2</sup> 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2006). Petitioner alleged that she developed neurological sequelae and seizure-like episodes as a result of a tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis (“TDaP”) vaccination she received on

<sup>1</sup> Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the undersigned’s action in this case, the undersigned intends to post this decision on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. Id.

<sup>2</sup> The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2006) (Vaccine Act or the Act). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

July 21, 2010. See Petition at 1. On December 19, 2014, the undersigned entered a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on a joint stipulation filed by the parties.

On March 6, 2015, the parties filed a stipulation concerning attorneys' fees and costs. The parties stipulate to a total award of attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$21,693.48. Stipulation at ¶ 2. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner represents that she personally incurred out-of-pocket litigation expenses in the amount of \$5.60. Id. at ¶ 3.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of any objection by respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** the request for approval and payment of attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, an award should be made as follows:

- 1) **in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and to petitioner's attorney, Ramon Rodriguez, III, of the law firm Rawls McNelis & Mitchell, in the amount of \$21,693.48.**
- 2) **in the form of a check payable to petitioner only in the amount of \$5.60.**

These payments represent all attorneys' fees and costs available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e). Id. at ¶ 5.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.