

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**

**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Case No. 12-736V

**Filed: March 19, 2015**

* * * * *		
ROBERT SLATER,	*	UNPUBLISHED
	*	
Petitioner,	*	
	*	
v.	*	Special Master Dorsey
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	Reasonable Amount Requested to which
	*	Respondent Does not Object.
Respondent.	*	
	*	
* * * * *		

Martin J. Martinez, Martinez Law Office, Napa, CA, for petitioner.  
Linda S. Renzi, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

**ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS DECISION<sup>1</sup>**

On October 31, 2012, Robert Slater (“petitioner”) filed a petition pursuant to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.<sup>2</sup> 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2006). Petitioner alleged that he developed tendinopathy as a result of tetanus and influenza (“flu”) vaccines he received on August 17, 2011 and November 30, 2011, respectively. See Petition at ¶¶ 2, 4-5. On January 20,

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the undersigned’s action in this case, the undersigned intends to post this decision on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. Id.

<sup>2</sup> The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2006) (Vaccine Act or the Act). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

2015, the undersigned entered a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on a joint stipulation filed by the parties.

On March 19, 2015, the parties filed a stipulation concerning attorneys' fees and costs. The parties stipulate to a total award of attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$35,150.00. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner represents that he personally incurred out-of-pocket costs in the amount of \$650.00.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300 aa-15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of any objection by respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** the request for approval and payment of attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, an award should be made as follows:

- 1) **in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and to petitioner's attorney, Martin J. Martinez, of the Martinez Law Office, in the amount of \$35,150.00.**
- 2) **in the form of a check payable to petitioner only in the amount of \$650.00.**

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.