

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 12-180V
(Not to be published)

AMANDA STROUT, as mother and next friend
of RIANA L. INMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Filed: December 18, 2014

Decision; Attorneys'
Fees & Costs

Randall E. Smith, Smith, Elliott, Smith and Garmey, Saco, ME, for Petitioner.

Debra A. Filteau Begley, U.S. Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS DECISION¹

On March 19, 2012, Amanda Strout filed a petition on behalf of her minor child, Riana L. Inman, seeking compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² On September 19, 2014, the parties filed a stipulation settling this case and detailing the amount to be awarded to Petitioner. I subsequently issued a decision finding the parties' stipulation to be reasonable and granting Petitioner an award as outlined in the stipulation.

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for my action in this case, it will be posted on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). As provided by 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B), however, the parties may object to the inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. To do so, Vaccine Rule 18(b) provides that each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the decision will be available to the public. *Id.*

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755 (codified as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa-10 – 34 (2006)) [hereinafter "Vaccine Act" or "the Act"]. Individual sections references hereafter will be to § 300aa of the Act.

On December 17, 2014, the parties filed another stipulation, this time regarding attorneys' fees and costs. The parties have stipulated that an award of \$26,000.00 for attorneys' fees and costs should be made, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. This amount represents a sum to which Respondent does not object. In addition, pursuant to the Amended General Order #9, Petitioner stated that she has not personally incurred litigation costs in association with this proceeding.

I approve the requested amount for attorneys' fees and costs as reasonable. Accordingly, an award of \$26,000.00 should be made in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Randall E. Smith. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by each filing (either jointly or separately) a notice renouncing their right to seek review.