



On April 28, 2014, Petitioners moved for a decision dismissing their petition, acknowledging that insufficient evidence exists to demonstrate entitlement to compensation.

To receive compensation under the Program, Petitioners must prove either 1) that P.R.P. suffered a “Table Injury”-i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table- corresponding to one of P.R.P’s vaccinations, or 2) that P.R.P suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. *See* §§ 13 (a)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that P.R.P. suffered a “Table Injury.” Further, the record does not contain a medical expert’s opinion or any other persuasive evidence indicating that P.R.P’s alleged injury was vaccine-caused.

Under the Act, a petitioner may not be given a Program award based solely on the petitioner’s claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 13(a)(1). In this case, because there are insufficient medical records supporting Petitioners’ claim, a medical opinion must be offered in support. Petitioners, however, have offered no such opinion.

Accordingly, it is clear from the record in this case that Petitioners have failed to demonstrate either that P.R.P. suffered a “Table Injury” or that P.R.P’s injuries were “actually caused” by a vaccination. **Thus, this case is dismissed for insufficient proof. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Lisa D. Hamilton-Fieldman  
Lisa D. Hamilton-Fieldman  
Special Master